IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated:- 18.09.2006

Coram:-

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.SATHASIVAM

and

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.TAMILVANAN

Habeas Corpus Petition No.679 of 2006

P.Ganesan .. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The State of Tamil Nadu,
   rep. by its Secretary to Government,
   Prohibition and Excise Department,
   Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

2. The District Magistrate and
   District Collector,
   Krishnagiri District,
   Krishnagiri. .. Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the entire records leading to the detention of the petitioner's son in law by name Babu, son of Krishnappa under Act 14/82 vide detention order dated 02.07.2006 on the file of the second respondent herein made in proceedings S.C.No.15/2006, quash the same and consequently, direct the respondents herein to produce the body and person of the said detenu before this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Subadra Devi
For Respondents : Mr.M.Babu Muthu Meeran
Addl. Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(Order of the Court was made by P.SATHASIVAM,J.)

The petitioner, who is the son-in-law of the detenu, by name Babu, who is detained as a ''Bootlegger" as contemplated under Section 3(1) of the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982 (Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982), by the impugned detention order dated 02.07.2006, challenges the same in this Petition.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondents.

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

3. At the foremost, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is enormous delay in disposal of the representation of the detenu, which vitiates the ultimate order of detention. With reference to the above claim, learned Additional Public Prosecutor has placed the details, which show that the representation of the detenu dated 18.07.2006 was received by the Government on 19.07.2006 and the remarks were called for on 20.07.2006. The representation of the detenu was received from the Government by the Collectorate on 19.07.2006 and parawar remarks were called for from the Sponsoring authority on 20.07.2006 and the remarks were received from the sponsoring authority on 14.08.2006 and report was sent to the Government on 20.08.2006. In the mean time, the remarks were received by the Government on 23.08.2006 and the File was submitted on 24.08.2006 and the same was dealt with by the Under Secretary and the Deputy Secretary on the same day i.e. on 24.08.2006 and finally, the Minister for Prohibition and Excise also passed orders on 28.082006. The rejection letter was prepared on the same day i.e. on 28.08.2006 and the same was sent to the detenu on 29.08.2006 and served to him on 31.08.2006. As rightly pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner, though parawar remarks were called for from the sponsoring authority on 20.07.2006, the remarks were received from the sponsoring authority by the Collectorate only on 14.08.2006 and there is no explanation at all for sending the remarks to the Collectorate belatedly. In the absence of any explanation by the person concerned even after excluding the intervening holidays, we are of the view that the time taken for sending the remarks to the Collectorate is on the higher side and we hold that the said delay has prejudiced the detenu in disposal of his representation. On this ground, we quash the impugned order of detention.

4. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned order of detention is set aside. The detenu is directed to be set at liberty forthwith from the custody unless he is required in some other case or cause.

raa

Sd/-
Asst. Registrar

//true copy//

Sub Asst.Registrar

To

1.The Secretary to Government, State of Tamil Nadu, Prohibition and Excise Department, Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2.The District Magistrate and District Collector, Krishnagiri District, Krishnagiri.

3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Salem.
(In duplicate for communication to detenu)

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

4.The Joint Secretary to Government, Public (Law and Order)
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

5.The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

JRG (CO)
RSM/25.9.2006

HCP. No.679 of 2006

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/